Case	8:10-ml-02145-DOC-RNB Document 61:	
	#:2865	0
1	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (SBN 16800	9)
2	mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY Son Francisco Airport Office Center	, LLP
3	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	
4	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	
5	JEFF S. WESTERMAN (SBN 94559)	
6	jwesterman@jswlegal.com WESTERMAN LAW CORP.	
7	1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 698-7450 Facsimile: (310) 201-9160	
9	Co-Lead Counsel for Masonek Plaintif	fs and the Class
10	LAWRENCE C. BARTH (SBN 12300)2)
11	Lawrence.Barth@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
12	355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560	Floor
13	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 683-4017	
14	Attorneys for Defendant	
15	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.	
16		
17	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
18	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
19	SOUTHERN DIVISION	
20	In re: MEDICAL CAPITAL SECURITIES LITIGATION	CASE NO. SA 10-ML-2145 DOC (RNB)
21	This document relates to:	PROPOSED ORDER
22	NO. SACV 09-1048 DOC (RNB)	PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT.
23	NO. SACV 10-00548 DOC (RNBx)	APPROVING PROPOSED NOTICE, AND SCHEDULING FAIRNESS
24	, , ,	HEARING
25	NO. SACV 10-6561 DOC (RNB)	
26		
27		
28		
		(XKXIXISKIX) ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS ACTION

MENTAL ME	
BAMX XXXX X EXSEXNA XSACO X X DAVIO A STRUBX X MAX X M	
Piehninky Approvakatine Semiemeniowith Wests Fargoodenk, Noac (X Wests	
Ranger's)xcannexxnxforkeexingxnxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	
EUNEMENT ON XIXEMENTAL.	

The Court, having reviewed and considered the Motion, hereby GRANTS the Motion, and further finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Noteholder Actions include: (1) the Class Action; (2) the case captioned *Bain v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al.*, Case No. SACV 10-00548 DOC (RNBx) (the "Bain Action"); and (3) the case captioned *Abbate v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, Case No. SACV 10-6561 DOC (RNBx) (the "Abbate Action"). The plaintiffs in the Class Action are referred to herein as the "Masonek Plaintiffs"; the plaintiffs in the Noteholder Actions are collectively referred to herein as the "Plaintiffs."
- 2. The Plaintiffs in the Noteholder Actions, on the one hand, and Wells Fargo, on the other hand, have agreed upon the terms and conditions of the Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated April <u>25</u>, 2013, which has been filed with the Court.
- 3. The definitions in the Stipulation of Settlement are hereby incorporated as though fully set forth in this Order, and except where otherwise noted, capitalized terms shall have the meanings attributed to them in the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 4. The Court has carefully reviewed the Stipulation of Settlement, as well as the files, records, and proceedings to date in the Noteholder Actions.
- 5. Because the Settlement meets the standards for preliminary approval, the terms of the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement are preliminarily approved as being fair, reasonable, and adequate.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the settlement of the claims of the Masonek Plaintiffs and the Class Members is subject to Court approval. The settlement of the claims of the Bain Plaintiffs and the Abbate Plaintiffs, on the other hand, does not require Court approval under Rule 23. However, pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement, the entry of the Abbate Final Order and Judgment and the Bain Final Order and Judgment (Exhibits F and E respectively to the Stipulation of Settlement), and the consequent dismissal with prejudice of the Abbate Action and the Bain Action, is conditioned upon the Court's approval and entry of the Class Action Final Order and Judgment (Exhibit D to the Stipulation of Settlement). Accordingly, the findings of this Order are limited to the Settlement as it applies to the Class Action, except that Paragraphs 15–16 and 29–31 below extend to all of the Noteholder Actions.
- 7. On or about July 26, 2011, this Court certified the Class. On or about December 8, 2011, the Court approved the form of class notice and class notice plan. Pursuant to the class notice plan, class notice was mailed to absent class members on or about January 31, 2012 and a summary notice was published in the Legal Section of *USA Today*. Class notice and related documents were also published on the website www.medicalcapitalclass.com. Absent class members were given 60 days to opt out of the class—a period that expired on or about March 30, 2012.
- 8. In light of the extensive notice program undertaken in connection with class certification and the ample opportunity provided to Class Members to request exclusion from the Class at that time, the Court is exercising its discretion in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(4) and the circumstances of this case to preclude Class Members from having a second opportunity to exclude themselves from the Class in connection with the Settlement proceedings. The previous class notice provided adequate information to Class Members about their rights and the claims in the Class Action, and informed Class Members that they

exclude themselves from the Class at that time.

- 3 4
- 5 6
- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11

12

- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27 28

- would be bound by any judgments that the Court makes in this case if they did not
- 9. The Masonek Plaintiffs have investigated the facts and law relating to the matters alleged in their complaint, including extensive pretrial discovery, pretrial motion practice, legal research as to the sufficiency of the claims, and an evaluation of the risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal(s).
- 10. The Settlement was reached in good faith and as a result of extensive arm's length negotiations between counsel for Plaintiffs in the Noteholder Actions, on the one hand, and counsel for Wells Fargo, on the other hand, occurring over mediation sessions with the Honorable Layn R. Phillips (Ret.).
- 11. The Settlement confers substantial benefits upon the Plaintiffs and the Class Members, particularly in light of the damages that the Masonek Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe are potentially recoverable or provable at trial, without the costs, uncertainties, delays, and other risks and expenses associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal(s).
- 12. A Fairness Hearing shall be held before this Court at <u>8:30</u> on August 12, 2013, to determine whether the Stipulation of Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and to determine whether the Class Action Final Order and Judgment (Exhibit D to the Stipulation of Settlement) should be entered dismissing the Class Action with prejudice as against Wells Fargo and the Released Parties. The Court will also consider Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses (the "Fee Application") and approval of a Plan of Allocation at that time.
- Papers in support of final approval of the Stipulation of Settlement and 13. approval of the Fee Application and Plan of Allocation shall be filed with the Court according to the schedule set forth in Paragraph 26 below. The Fairness Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to the Class.

- 14. After the Fairness Hearing, the Court may enter the Class Action Final Order and Judgment (Exhibit D to the Stipulation of Settlement) in accordance with the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 15. Pending the Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in the Noteholder Actions relating to Wells Fargo or any of the Released Parties, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Stipulation of Settlement and this Order, are stayed.
- 16. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, no Plaintiff or Class Member in any of the Noteholder Actions shall commence or continue, directly, derivatively, in a representative capacity, or in any other capacity, any action against Wells Fargo or any of the Released Parties in any court or tribunal asserting any of the Released Claims.
- 17. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Long Form Notice and Summary Notice, attached as Exhibits B and A, respectively, to the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 18. Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC is hereby appointed as Settlement Administrator for the Settlement and shall perform all of the duties of the Settlement Administrator set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 19. Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Settlement and of the Fairness Hearing to the Class Members as follows:
 - a. by mailing, on or before three (3) weeks/twenty-one (21) days after the entry of this Order, the Long Form Notice substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation of Settlement to the last known addresses of the Class Members;
 - b. publishing, not later than thirty (30) days after the entry of this Order, a copy of the Summary Notice substantially in the form attached as Exhibit A to the Stipulation of Settlement in the Legal Section of *USA Today*; and

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- c. providing a link in the Long Form Notice and the Summary
 Notice to a website at the domain name
 www.medicalcapitalclass.com that will contain the settlement
 documents (including but not limited to the Long Form Notice),
 a list of important dates, and any other information to which the
 Parties may agree.
- 20. The Court finds that the Long Form Notice and the Summary Notice are reasonable, and that they constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and that they meet the requirements of due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds that the manner of dissemination of the Long Form Notice and the Summary Notice described in Paragraph 19 of this Order complies with Rule 23(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as it is a reasonable manner of providing notice to the Class Members. The Court also finds that the manner of dissemination of the Long Form Notice and the Summary Notice described in Paragraph 19 of this Order complies with Rule 23(c)(2), as it is also the best practicable notice under the circumstances, provides individual notice to all Class Members who can be identified through a reasonable effort, and is reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise the Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, and their right to object to the Settlement. At or before the Fairness Hearing, Co-Lead Class Counsel shall file with the Court proof of dissemination of the Long Form Notice and the Summary Notice. At or before the Fairness Hearing, Defense Counsel shall file with the Court proof of compliance with the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.
- 21. Any Class Member may object to the Settlement contained in the Stipulation of Settlement, the entry of the Class Action Final Order and Judgment, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or the amount of fees requested by Class Counsel in the Fee Application. Any Class Member who intends to object to the

- entitled to object to the Settlement, and no written objections or briefs submitted by any Class Member shall be received or considered by the Court at the Fairness Hearing, unless written notice of the objecting Class Member's intention to appear at the Fairness Hearing and copies of any written objections and/or briefs have been filed with the Court and served on Class Counsel and Defense Counsel as set forth Class Members who fail to file and serve timely written objections in the manner specified in Paragraph 21 of this Order shall be deemed to have waived all objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objection (whether by
- Class Counsel shall file papers in support of final approval of the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and their Fee Application no later than seven (7)
- Papers in response to objections to the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and/or the Fee Application shall be filed with the Court no later than two (2)
 - a. The Long Form Notice required to be sent by mail to the Class per the Stipulation of Settlement shall be sent **no later than** three (3) weeks/twenty-one (21) days after the entry of this Order:
 - b. The Summary Notice shall be published within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Order;
 - c. All objections to the Settlement and written notices of the objecting Class Member's intention to appear at the Fairness

22

23

24

25

26

- Hearing shall be filed and served **no later than ten** (10) weeks/seventy (70) days after the entry of this Order.
- d. Class Counsel shall file their papers in support of final approval of the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and their Fee Application no later than seven (7) weeks/forty-nine (49) days after the entry of this Order;
- e. Papers in response to objections to the Stipulation of Settlement,
 Plan of Allocation, and/or the Fee Application shall be filed with
 the Court no later than two (2) weeks/fourteen (14) days
 before the Fairness Hearing; and
- f. The Fairness Hearing shall be held on <u>August 12</u>, **2013** at <u>8:30</u> <u>a.m.</u>.
- 27. These dates of performance may be extended by order of the Court, for good cause shown, without further notice to the Class. Class Members should check the settlement website at www.medicalcapitalclass.com regularly for updates and further details regarding extensions of these dates of performance.
- 28. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are hereby authorized to use all reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with this Order or the Stipulation of Settlement, including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the form or content of the Long Form Notice, Summary Notice, and other exhibits that they jointly agree are reasonable or necessary.
- 29. In the event the Stipulation of Settlement is not approved by the Court, or for any reason the Parties fail to obtain the Final Orders and Judgments or any conditions for settlement specified in the Stipulation of Settlement are not met, or the Stipulation of Settlement is terminated pursuant to its terms for any reason or the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, then the following shall apply:

Case	8:10-ml-02145-DOC-RNB Document 611 Filed 05/06/13 Page 10 of 11 Page ID #:28659
1	a. All orders and findings entered in connection with the
2	Stipulation of Settlement shall be vacated and shall become null
3	and void and shall have no force and effect whatsoever, shall not
4	be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever, and shall not
5	be admissible or discoverable in this or any other proceeding;
6	b. The Noteholder Actions relating to Wells Fargo shall return to
7	the procedural status quo before entry of this Order as if no
8	settlement had been negotiated or entered into;
9	c. All of the Court's prior Orders shall, subject to this Order,
10	remain in force and effect; and
11	d. The Parties shall cooperate in good faith to determine a
12	reasonable pre-trial and trial schedule.
13	30. Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as, a
14	presumption, concession or admission by or against Wells Fargo or the Plaintiffs of
15	any default, liability, or wrongdoing as to any facts or claims alleged or asserted in
16	the Noteholder Actions, or any actions or proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or
17	administrative. Nothing in this Order or pertaining to the Stipulation of Settlement,
18	including any of the documents or statements generated or received pursuant to the
19	claims administration process, shall be used as evidence in any further proceeding
20	in the Noteholder Actions.
21	
22	
23	
24	

Case	8:10-ml-02145-DOC-RNB Document 611 Filed 05/06/13 Page 11 of 11 Page ID #:28660
	11.2000
1	31. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Noteholder Actions related
2	to Defendants, the Parties, and the administration, enforcement, and interpretation
3	of the Settlement. Any disputes or controversies arising with respect to the
4	Settlement shall be presented by motion to the Court.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: May 6, 2013
7	By: Honorable David O. Carter
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	